



<b>Meeting:</b>	Executive
<b>Meeting date:</b>	3 June 2025
<b>Report of:</b>	Garry Taylor, Director of City Development
<b>Portfolio of:</b>	Executive Member for Transport

## **Decision Report: Review of Parking Charges**

### **Subject of Report**

1. By changing how we move around the city, residents will benefit from a healthier, more sustainable and better-connected city. By reducing vehicle traffic, York will be an even better place to live, attracting investment and employment and helping residents live longer, happier, healthier lives.
2. The Local Transport Strategy was adopted by Executive in July 2024. It will transform how residents move around the city. Executive have already invested over £50m in bus improvements and the station gateway and approved sustainable travel routes that are designed to create a more accessible, affordable and healthier transport network.
3. To be effective and reliable, and a viable option for residents, commuters and visitors, buses need to move through the city without being hindered by traffic congestion.
4. The recently adopted Local Transport Strategy was based on extensive consultation about transport. During the consultation, residents told us the rhythm of their lives is dictated by the roads and networks that dominate our city, that congestion was a significant issue for many people and they supported reducing the number of car miles driven in the city - with a target of reducing driven miles by 20% by 2030.
5. Recognising this, Executive made several recommendations to Full Council for the Budget to change carparking charging, to reflect the public consultation on both the budget and Local Transport

Strategy. These recommendations were informed by the extensive evidence base on parking charges as a tool to reduce traffic congestion.

6. The Budget was consulted on as part of the engagement process around budget setting, known as *Our Big Budget Conversation*, with parking charges among the issues discussed at workshops and included within the consultation.
7. Since the new car parking charges have been implemented, their impact has caused concern to a range of communities and therefore Executive has asked for an opportunity to review these charges.
8. Petitions have been received about the changes in parking prices at Bishopthorpe Road and East Parade. A letter has been received calling for a review of parking charges at Bishopthorpe Road under the Statutory Guidance - Right to challenge parking policies, Traffic Management Act 2004: Network Management Duty Guidance. This report details how this review will be managed (para 66).
9. Proposals to change carparking charges are contained within the report to be considered by Executive for approval.

## **Benefits and Challenges**

10. It was recognised the journey to encourage a shift in travel choices is bold and ambitious.
11. It sets us on a path that will potentially lead to some change and disruption, as it encourages residents to consider how they currently move in and around the city and join us on a journey that will improve the quality of life for all residents.
12. Delays suffered by bus services in York city centre cause delays and unreliability across the York and North Yorkshire region and, as a result of city centre traffic congestion, deter people from using the bus.
13. Changes such as improved bus measures have made the bus a more attractive and a more viable option. However, as with any significant change there will be a period of adjustment to the new parking charges, with potential for some adverse impacts as outlined in the Equalities Impact Assessment.
14. Listening to the challenges residents and businesses have described following the Budget-approved changes to parking prices, there is now an early opportunity to review them.

## Policy Basis for Decision

15. In December 2022, the council adopted the 10-Year Strategy and Policy Framework which is comprised of three interdependent 10-year strategies (Climate Change, Health and Wellbeing and Economic), together with a 10-Year City Plan co-designed by city partners. The 10-year strategies were informed by existing or emerging national and local policies, extensive resident consultation and stakeholder roundtable discussions and set the foundation for the council's Strategy and Policy Framework for the decade ahead.
16. The 10-Year City Plan sets an ambitious and bold vision for the decade ahead: by 2032 York will be a vibrant, prosperous, welcoming and sustainable city, where everyone can share and take pride in its success.
17. The Climate Change Strategy 2022-2032 sets a target to reduce carbon emissions inherent in transport by 71%, reducing miles travelled by fossil fuelled transport by 20% by 2030.
18. The Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022-2032 describes an all-age approach to health and wellbeing and sets out to reduce health inequalities, including improving air quality and encouraging social connection, with health, care and community partners currently exploring movement and place as a factor in driving positive, or negative, health outcomes.
19. The Local Transport Strategy is a key priority of the 10-Year City Plan, with city partners and residents united in setting the expectation that the council would work with the city to develop a transport system that by 2032, will be inclusive and sustainable, connecting neighbourhoods and communities.
20. The Local Transport Strategy covers the period from 2024-2040 and will need to support and deliver the approved Local Plan, which defines how the city will grow.
21. The Council Plan 2023-2027, approved by Full Council in 2023, maps to the York 2032 Ten Year Strategy vision and priorities, with the Council Plan aiming to establish the conditions that would enable successful delivery of the ambition set for York by 2032. This includes listening to and learning from the disabled community to shape a more accessible city centre, developing a Movement and Place Plan to reduce carbon emissions (as set out by the Climate

Change Strategy) and finding ways to make the footstreets more accessible, welcoming and family friendly.

## **Local Transport Strategy**

22. The Council has adopted a Local Transport Strategy and accompanying Implementation Plan which seeks to transform our city's transport network, by giving people more options, no matter where they live, work or study, or however they travel. We can improve residents' health through better air quality and making it easier to be physically and socially active. We can make it easier for people across the region to travel to York, strengthening our economy by attracting both commuters and visitors to the city. We can keep our economy moving by reducing congestion through a new transport strategy which focuses on moving the maximum number of people – not vehicles – and reducing vehicle dominance throughout York's public spaces.
23. The strategy was based around extensive consultation on transport, where residents have told us that the current network limits their options. We heard from college students having to choose apprenticeships not based on the best and most relevant to them, but ones they can reach by bus or cycle. We heard from businesses whose delivery drivers get caught in congestion; bus users who need more reliable services which run on time and go where they need to go. In addition, the strain this puts on our transport and health systems creates demand on public services that we can no longer afford. That congestion was a significant issue for many people, and they supported policies including:  
*Policy focus area 5: Safeguarding our environment by cutting carbon, air pollution and noise - we will encourage the take-up of electric vehicles because they have no tailpipe emissions. However, we know that simply converting existing internal combustion-engine trips to electric vehicle trips will not be enough to meet Climate Change targets, reduce congestion, or improve air quality and health sufficiently. We must achieve reductions in the absolute number of car miles travelled too.*
24. The parking pricing model that was approved as part of budget for City of York Council set a consistent parking price for parking across the city centre, with the aim of reducing congestion by making travel by bus, cycle and walking a more attractive option than driving into the city, and reducing congestion associated with searching for the cheapest parking places.

25. As part of the budget for 2025/26, car parking prices across the centre of York were increased by an average of around 50% for the first and second hour, with the increase tapering off for subsequent hours. This was designed to incentivise bus travel by making the first two hours of parking slightly more expensive than the cost of a family bus ticket. Assuming a short-term parking elasticity of -0.3, the anticipation was that the price increase would lead to a drop in demand of 10 to 15% and a potential reduction in traffic volumes of around 2%.
26. For some areas of York, the new parking pricing model resulted in a very significant percentage increase in price, with the most extreme being at Bishopthorpe Road car park, one of very few areas near the city centre with anomalously low parking rates of under £1 an hour. This car park previously charged 80p for one hour, but under the new charges moved to £4.85 for the first hour: a 500% increase. Similarly, some on-street locations had anomalously low prices previously, making the new prices a significant rise. Independent traders and residents have raised concerns about the impact of such an increase on footfall and trade.
27. Some adaptations to the parking price model have been developed in response to concerns that have been raised, providing a more graduated approach to the rise in parking prices in community shopping areas outside of the inner ring road, whilst being careful not to undermine the goals of the Local Transport Strategy.
28. By developing an approach to start the journey to transform the city, and approve the proposals listed, the council will respond to the four core commitments, as below:

**Equalities and Human Rights:** Our Big Transport Consultation, which informed the Local Transport Strategy, ensured broad participation to best represent the city, including discussions with the Youth Council and school children which helped us understand what would help young people feel safe on the transport network.

**Affordability:** Providing different ways to make the city more affordable for residents includes bus concessions for young people, prioritising cycle and footpath improvements in areas of deprivation and, more generally, provides more mobility options for people who do not have access to a car (one in four households in York don't have access to a car) – something which could be dictated by personal choice or health/ affordability constraints. Blue Badge

holders can park for free in Council car parks and in ResPark zones.

**Climate and Environment:** The changes to car parking prices supporting policies within the Local Transport Strategy are designed to accelerate a reduction in carbon emissions, with the target of reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from transport by 71% by 2030, by reducing vehicle miles driven by 20%.

**Health and wellbeing:** The Local Transport Strategy provides an approach to citywide transformation that will reduce air pollution and enable more physical and social activity, through promotion and facilitation of active modes of transport. This is aligned with other Council priorities, such as those set out in York's Air Quality Management Plans.

## Financial Strategy Implications

29. The charges laid out in the budget were clearly set to help deliver a change in travel behaviour to support delivery of the Local Transport Strategy, with forecast income reflected in the budget. The proposals laid out in this report (which are assessed in the implications section below) are not considered to be significant in relation to the overall Parking budget. The council's regular budget monitoring process will track usage and income throughout the year and advise whether any mitigating actions will be required.

## Recommendation and Reasons

30. Executive is invited to take a holistic city-wide approach to considering the options presented and:

- a) approve an increase in the discount for the Minster Badge to 30% of the standard parking charge, from the current 24%.

*Reason: to minimise the impact of parking charges on residents*

- b) maintain existing on-street parking charges on and inside the inner ring road, with the following exception at (c);

*Reason: to ensure parking charges reflect the costs of congestion and contribute to Local Transport Strategy objectives*

- c) to approve the adjusting of charges in the Micklegate and Priory Street area to the 'outside the inner ring road' on-street parking rate, rather than its existing higher city centre rate. This will be reviewed in the future. City centre evening parking rates for this area will still apply.

*Reason: To recognise the anomalously low charges in this area previously, and to allow local business time to adjust to future increases in parking rates*

- d) maintain existing charging at all city centre car parks with the exception of Bishopthorpe Road, which it's proposed is moved in line with charges approved for community car parks at East Parade and Rowntree Park in the council's 2025-26 Budget.
- e) approve a standard lower rate outside the inner ring road to apply to on-street parking, matching rates at Bishopthorpe Road, East Parade and Rowntree Park Car Parks.
- f) approve that East Parade Car Park should remain matched to the 'outer' on-street local parking rate

*Reason: to ensure consistency across out of city centre parking and reflect the role and importance of local shopping areas to York's economy*

- g) to remove the proposed charges for dedicated motorcycle bays.

*Reason: to recognise that the motorcycle bays are generally in locations where a car space is not possible. The charge is also inconsistent with the on-street charge arrangements. This will be reviewed at a later date.*

- h) increase the discount for Low Emission vehicle permits to 20%, from the current 16% discount

*Reason: to set a discount that better reflects the contribution of all types of vehicles to congestion*

- i) approve that Contract Parking permits are no longer linked to Season Tickets, and will be set at last year's prices, plus circa 5% increase, with a 20% discount for low emission vehicles.

*Reason: to recognise the unique circumstances of the small number of residents who live within the city walls without access to parking.*

- j) to undertake a review and develop a policy position around travel to places of worship.

*Reason: to ensure consistency of approach and ensure the council is meeting its obligations under the Equalities Act.*

- k) should Executive approve a change to the amount paid for the low vehicle emission discount, Contract, Season and ResPark permits holders will be refunded the difference.

*Reason: so that any change in policy does not adversely affect residents*

- l) To note that, if approved, the new charges will need to be advertised in accordance with legislation, meaning those charges implemented will be subject to a pending review under the Traffic Management Act 2004 Right to Challenge Parking Policies.

*Reason: to ensure compliance with legislative requirements.*

- m) Accept the challenge to review parking charges under the Traffic Management Act 2004 in respect of Bishopthorpe Road and initiate the review.

*Reason: to ensure the council responds to the petitions submitted.*

- n) To approve that a further report will be brought back to Executive upon the conclusion of the review.

*Reason: to set a clear process for carrying out such reviews*

- o) To approve that the parking income budget is not changed at this time, whilst recognising the impact of the proposed changes could be in the region of £115k.
- p) To request that updates on parking usage and income is included in quarterly finance and performance monitoring reports and any variances are considered within the whole council budget.

*Reason: to ensure the impact on the parking budget is considered and remedial action is taken if necessary.*

31. **Annex C** shows the Parking Services charges Council approved 2024/25.



## Background

32. To reflect the new Local Transport Strategy, Executive made a number of recommendations to Full Council for the budget to change the pricing regime around parking. These can be summarised as follows:
- a) To set prices so that a stay of two hours in city centre car parks was more expensive than a family day bus ticket
  - b) To merge the short stay and general stay city centre car parks into the same pricing structure.
  - c) To designate Bishopthorpe Road Car Park a City Centre Car Park.
  - d) To increase the discount for Minster Badge Holders for parking from circa 9% to 30%
  - e) To reduce the discount for permit parking for low emission vehicles from circa 50% to 20%
  - f) Aligning Contract Parking with season ticket pricing
  - g) To remove the Sunday parking charge exemption.
  - h) To remove the half hour payment option from some locations.

## Monitoring the changes

### Footfall

33. In April 2024, Parliament Street footfall data showed the city welcomed 563,406 people, and in April 2025 the total footfall was 724,848, an increase of 28.7%. The impact of Easter comparing year on year shows an increase of 38% for Easter week 1, and a 19.2% increase for Easter week 2.
34. Footfall data isn't available for Bishopthorpe Road, Heworth or Micklegate, as examples of the impact on urban shopping areas.

### Retail

35. In April 2025, monthly retail sales in York city centre were £35.5m, with year to date (YTD) sales at £132m. Compared to April 2024, monthly sales increased by +3.7%, while YTD increased by +3.3%.
36. When comparing York to other areas, the monthly sales change between April 2024 and April 2025 in York is +3.7% higher than Chester (+2%) and similar to Bath (+3.9%). This is significantly better than the GB Benchmark.

37. The council commissioned independent data to review retail spend and transactions in the urban retail districts of Heworth, Bishopthorpe Road and Micklegate. Comparing the Jan-April period for 2022-2025, is a mixed picture:

- Customer numbers have increased 1% at Bishopthorpe Road and Micklegate year on year and increased 12% in Heworth.
- Transactions are up 2% in Bishopthorpe Road, down 11% in Micklegate and up 4% in Heworth (which has declined year on year prior to this).
- Sales are stronger in Bishopthorpe Road after two relatively static years (up 9%), Micklegate is seeing good year on year growth (up 2% on last year) with strong sales performance in Heworth (up 12% this year).

38. It is still too early to predict whether this is a trend with further analysis forming part of the review – and different sectors performing differently.

## Economy

39. The council has followed a policy of consistently increasing parking charges as part of its Budget setting process annually. This has been by inflation in some years, and by greater amounts in others. Last year saw a reduction in the number of parking transactions and an increase in parking income (table below).

	Transactions		Income	
	No		£	
2022-23	1,537,401		7,994,799	
2023-24	1,490,273	-3.07%	8,659,294	8.31%
2024-25	1,418,322	-4.83%	9,131,109	5.45%

40. This year's changes to parking charges may follow a similar trend, however, it is too early to know how the more significant charge increases will affect parking behaviour, which will be monitored at 3, 6 and 9 months.

41. Footfall has not been impacted by a fall in parking transactions, indicating people are finding alternative ways to visit York. And data

provided through York BID above, shows spend held up well compared to last year.

### Carpark use

42. There has only been limited data from the new charges being implemented however it has been possible to do some analysis of the first 4 weeks of the charge being implemented compared to the same 4 week period last year. Overall there has been a 13% reduction in overall transactions (104k to 91k) with an increase in income of 24% (£641k to £795k)

43. The table below gives early indication of the impact of the charges

	Change in Parking Behaviour			% 's	
	Trans	£	Avg £/Trans	Trans	£
Castle	-1,865	16,569	1.64	-10%	13%
Marygate	14	32,586	2.93	0%	34%
Monk Bar	336	17,559	1.53	3%	27%
Nunnery Lane	-374	15,330	2.87	-6%	31%
St George's Union	69	13,684	1.92	1%	28%
Terrace	-1,126	5,555	1.92	-14%	11%
Coppergate	-910	12,869	2.81	-12%	22%
Bootham Row	-173	7,182	1.56	-3%	23%
Foss Bank	-639	17,682	3.60	-10%	47%
Esplanade	-706	-2,179	2.31	-34%	-14%
Bishopthorpe Road	-1,907	6,881	3.02	-37%	94%
East Parade	-138	415	2.26	-36%	105%
Coach Park	140	2,488	0.85	26%	34%
Rowntree Park	-140	2,232	2.40	-12%	88%
On Street	-5,856	5,353	3.10	-44%	13%

-				
13,275	154,205	2.60	-13%	24%

44. The data shows that demand for parking has reduced which was to be expected as price elasticity would suggest. There do appear

however to be larger decreases in those areas where prices were lower before eg Bishopthorpe Road and East Parade and across on-street parking locations.

## **Bus passengers**

45. In 2023/24 York was 8th Local Authority in England for bus journeys per head of population with 70.6. Local bus journeys are rising with 13.2m (2022/23) and 14.6m (2023/24)<sup>1</sup>. There is no data available for this year.

## **Investing in Bus Services**

46. The council has invested over £50m in both capital and revenue funding to improve how public bus services operate in the city, making them a more attractive transport options for residents and visitors.
47. The council was awarded £17.4m in April 2022 and received further £1.1m funding after that. This covered 2022/23 to 2024/25 and has been extended into 2025/26 This delivers revenue service support and fares initiatives and capital infrastructure improvements. We also allocated c£800k of council revenue funding to support services.
48. Bus Service Improvement Plan funding has supported over a million £1 fares for children and young people and the physical improvement of bus stops. Examples of other bus service improvement includes:
- £1.7m on upgrading bus stops and installing new real time information screens, with a further £650k agreed with the Mayoral Combined Authority for 2025/26.
  - The City Centre Corridor has a budget of £2m from the Bus Services Improvement Plan plus £200k Combined Authority funding for 2025/26.
  - The Investment in the Park and Ride site upgrades is £4m from the Bus Services Improvement Plan plus £250k Combined Authority funding for 2025/26.
  - Starting delivery of Park and Ride service upgrades of £460,000 this summer.

---

<sup>1</sup> [Phenomenal figures released for York's Park and Ride | First Bus North England News](#)

- The tendered Park and Ride service budget is c£750k, this is enhanced with a further £970k from the Combined Authority for 2025/26.
  - The station bus loop is being delivered for £3.5m.
49. The £54.5m Station Gateway capital scheme, currently underway, will transform the area around the railway station into a modern, up to date bus service interchange, proving greater flexibility in how bus services operate.
50. The council is also developing a Sustainable Transport Corridor between the railway station and Clifford's Tower that will prioritise bus services and improve their reliability. Along with an increasingly clean, modern electric bus fleet, taken collectively these are significant steps being taken to improve bus services as a transport alternative to the car.
51. Bus patronage has been steadily increasing and the recommendations above are expected to stimulate greater use of bus services.

### **Listening to feedback**

52. The Council has been listening to concerns from businesses and residents to the changes.
53. Therefore, having listened to residents and businesses Executive are being asked to consider making changes.

### **Petitions and Right to Review Parking Policy**

54. A Petition, (see **Annex A**) has been received about the change in parking prices notably at Bishopthorpe Road. The Bishopthorpe Road Traders have written to the Executive Member referencing an 8000-signature petition.
55. Bishopthorpe Road Traders have also requested a review of parking prices under the Traffic Management Act 2004 and in accordance with the Network Management Duty Guidance (2022) specifically the section relating to the Right to Challenge Parking Policy. The Statutory Guidance can be found here:  
[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7514cc40f0b6397f35d851/150305 - Guidance on Parking Reviews FINAL.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7514cc40f0b6397f35d851/150305_-_Guidance_on_Parking_Reviews_FINAL.pdf).
56. A second Petition (see **Annex B**) has also been received about the change in parking prices at East Parade raising concern about the

price rise from £1.10 to £3.00. The reference to the 'paybyphone' price is a programming error please see paragraph 48. The petition has had personal information, including signatures and postcodes redacted. There were over 375 signatories.

57. Key elements are:

*The Traffic Management Act 2004 imposes an explicit duty on local authorities to manage their network so as to reduce congestion and disruption, and provides additional powers to do with parking. As a part of this Network Management Duty, local authorities need to develop parking strategies (covering on- and off-street parking) that are linked to local objectives and circumstances. Strategies need to take account of planning policies and transport powers as well as consider the needs of all road users in the area, the appropriate scale and type of provision, the balance between short and long term provision and the level of charges.*

*However, parking strategies cannot simply be about restricting parking. They need to meet the best interests of road users, communities and businesses. Inappropriate parking rules, over-zealous enforcement and high parking charges drive people out of town centres, push up the cost of living, harm local shops and make it harder for people to park responsibly and go about their everyday lives.*

58. A letter calling for a review under the Right to challenge parking policies Traffic Management Act 2004 has been received in relation to Bishopthorpe Road with the petition received for Bishopthorpe Road expressed in support of the request.

59. This report also covers the concerns expressed in relation to parking charges received by letter; the letter has been acknowledged and the authors of those letters have been alerted to this Executive meeting which is the first stage in considering the review under Traffic Management Act (TMA),

60. Executive can make possible both short- and long-term resolutions to the issue.

61. Should Executive agree the Council will carry out the requested review under the Traffic Management Act. The review will consider the contents of the letter(s)/petition(s) and will follow the principles for reviews set out in statutory guidance which includes a consultation phase. It is confirmed that no further petition will be required under the Traffic Management Act process for the Bishopthorpe Road Car Park.

62. The correspondents and lead petitioner will be contacted by mid-June to explain the review process which the Council will be following.
63. In light of the contents of the letter(s)/petition(s), the Council will determine the scope of the review, and how long it will take to undertake.
64. The Council will then publish details of the letter(s)/petition(s) and the scheduled review.
65. The review will then be conducted, with full public consultation, through the website, public meetings, and drop-in sessions, together with direct contact with the BID, Traders Associations, local businesses, and Community Interest Groups.
66. Upon the conclusion of the review a further report will be brought back to Executive. Given that the letter(s)/petition(s) call for the same outcome.
67. However, in the interim, Executive may make changes to the current pricing regime.

## **Options Analysis and Evidential Basis**

### **Options for changes to pricing regime in advance of the review**

68. To respond to the feedback so far Executive are asked to consider the following options:
  - a) To reconsider the decision at Full Council to make Bishopthorpe Road Car Park a city centre car park. It could be changed back to a Local Car Park and match East Parade Car Park in terms of pricing and a three-hour limit and no evening charges.
  - b) To increase the current Minster Badge discount from 24% to 30%.
  - c) Previously the Low Emission Discount was 50% ie half the previous standard charge. Members chose to reduce the percentage discount to 16%, this discount could be increased to 20%.
  - d) Contract Parking is aimed at residents of the city centre without parking and allows them access to a car park, this was historically less than season tickets for car parks which are

available to anyone. The Budget decision was to, make the charge the same for contract parking as season tickets. This has significantly impacted on the relatively few people with contract parking. Contract Parking could be reduced to last year's price plus 5%.

- e) The Executive Member for Transport has made a decision to pause the implementation of Sunday Parking charges in some areas of the city. The recommendation is that a policy position is developed in relation to this and until this is complete, no further implementation is made.
- f) The half hour payment option could be reinstated at non city centre car parks ie East Parade and Rowntree Park and Bishopthorpe Road Car Park but that would encourage short trips to be made by car, contributing to congestion, and makes the bus a less attractive option.

## **Refunds**

- 69. The proposed changes raise the issue of refunds.
- 70. We are aware of a small number of people where the app has charged the wrong amount. This was due to an error in the app, and refunds are being made automatically to those affected and they do not need to contact the Council.
- 71. No paid-for parking sessions paid at a higher price will be refunded as it was the charge Council approved through the Budget and was advertised.
- 72. If Executive choose to change the discount for Minster Badge holders for parking charges, then that discount changes for everyone from the point the change becomes effective. No refunds are due as the discount applied to the charge applicable on the day was the correct amount at that time.
- 73. If a decision is made to change the Low Vehicle Emission discount, then refunds should be made. The payment is for a year, so depending on when renewal was made the individual may have been adversely affected by the in-year change in the price. The same principle would apply to monthly (resident contract, season tickets) and quarterly (ResPark) price changes being refunded.
- 74. People who have purchased a contract parking at the higher price will now need to be refunded the difference. The payment is on a monthly or annual basis, so depending on when the renewal was made, they may have been adversely affected by the in-year change in price.



## Analysis

75. The Equalities Impact Assessment undertaken for the budget has been reviewed and is attached at **Annex D** and the impacts are considered in the implications section.
76. A preliminary economic assessment has been undertaken. The Council is collating data to be considered as part of the Review of Parking Policies under the Traffic Management Act.
77. Research has demonstrated that interventions which reduce car use and increase sustainable travel options result in multiple positive benefits for high streets, with substantive evidence for increased footfall and increased spend per person in businesses.  
<https://www.climateexchange.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/cxc-the-environmental-social-and-economic-benefits-of-sustainable-travel-to-local-high-streets-and-town-centres-may-2023.pdf>
78. The parking charges approved as part of the budget setting process were informed by the evidence base around parking policies and reducing car use. Studies have examined the link between the price of car parking and demand, and there is general agreement that short term parking price elasticity is around -0.3, meaning that a 10% rise in parking price will lead to a 3% fall in demand (<https://www.climateexchange.org.uk/publications/reducing-car-use-through-parking-policies-an-evidence-review/> and Marsden, G.R. (2006) The evidence base for parking policies - a review. Transport Policy, 13 (6). pp. 447-457. ISSN 0967-070X ).
79. Parking data from the previous year for car parks in York demonstrate this level of parking price elasticity, with an approximately 12% rise in prices resulting in around 5% fewer transactions but 5% more income. Meanwhile, city centre footfall figures saw a near 8% increase, suggesting that at least some people had switched to other modes of transport.
80. Every city will respond differently to increases in parking charges and there is always uncertainty in the level of short-term parking price elasticity. However, some cities have experimented with significant increases in parking charge.
81. In 2019 the city of Amsterdam increased the hourly price of on-street parking by an average of 66%, whilst at the same time removing 0.6% of parking spaces. Overall parking demand fell by around 14% and traffic volumes in the city fell by 2 to 3% (Ostermeijer, F., Koster, H., Nunes, L. and van Ommeren, J., 2022).

Citywide parking policy and traffic: Evidence from Amsterdam.  
Journal of Urban Economics, 128, p.103418).

- 82.** Spatial distribution of parking price is also important. A wide variety of pricing can lead to drivers searching for cheaper spaces, adding to miles driven and traffic congestion. A review of 16 studies in 11 international cities cites that on average 30% of traffic is looking for a parking space, with an average search time being 8.1 minutes (Marsden, G.R. (2006) The evidence base for parking policies - a review. Transport Policy, 13 (6). pp. 447-457. ISSN 0967-070X).

## Organisational Impact and Implications

- **Financial:** The proposals in the report are providing a number of additional discounts to that made in the original proposals made in the budget report. These have been assessed as the following
  - Increase Minster Badge Discounts £45k
  - Change to Bishopthorpe Road pricing £30k
  - Introduce outer city rate for Respark Zones £10k
  - Change to Micklegate / Priory Street on-street pricing £20k
  - Remove dedicated bays for motorcycle parking £0k
  - Increase Low emission vehicle discounts £10k
  - Reintroduce a charge for Contract Parking £0k
  - Change to on-street parking charges outside the inner ring road £0k.
 Where values are £0k it is assumed to be a negligible impact in terms of income collected.

Anticipated parking revenue:

Off Street	£9,481k
On Street	£ 683k
Season Ticket	£ 548k
Total	£10,712k

Overall, it is assessed that the reduced income from implementing these changes could be in the region of £115k but the actual value will be dependent on the impact in behaviour that occurs following any price changes. The assessed impact of the changes represents approximately 1% of the total parking budget.

It is too early to ascertain the impact of the new prices introduced in April 2025 on overall transactions and income levels and it will not be possible to isolate the proposed changes in this report away from the impact on

the more significant changes agreed in the budget. It is therefore proposed that regular updates on Parking usage and income are provided in the quarterly Executive Finance and Performance Monitoring reports. Members can then consider parking income alongside the wider council budget and approve any mitigating actions where necessary.

- **Human Resources (HR):** There are no HR Implications with the recommendations. Council pool cars will continue to use council car parks as usual.
- **Legal:** There are no proposed changes to the substantive Off Street and On Street parking orders. The Council has the power to vary charges for off-street and on-street parking under S35 and 46A of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984. In accordance with the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 the Council is required to publish a notice of variation setting out the changes in a local newspaper for 21 days. The notice of variation is also required to be placed in prominent positions in the carparks and may be placed along roads in which the parking places are situated until the new charges come into force.
- **Procurement:** No procurement implications
- **Health and Wellbeing** Reducing the number of fossil fuelled cars on York roads would reduce congestion and as a result have a positive impact on reducing air pollution and improving health and wellbeing. However, given the relatively small numbers, it is unlikely to have a detrimental impact.
- **Environment and Climate Action:** We know that we have to reduce driven miles and this is a council ambition. Parking charges are a way of impacting on driven miles.
- **Affordability:** Although the objective of the changes was to encourage greater use of public transport and sustainable modes of transport, the original increases in parking charges may have had a negative impact on those low-income groups who own a car. These residents may be impacted in their ability to access shops, services, parks, etc by car and may not be able to use alternative modes of transport. The proposals in this report will make parking more affordable, and impact positively on local businesses outside the inner ring road in particular.
- **Equalities and Human Rights:** Negative impacts have been identified in the updated Equalities Impact Assessment contained within Annex D, with mitigations are identified within it.
- **Data Protection and Privacy:** Data Protection and Privacy: The data protection impact assessment (DPIAs) screening questions were completed for the recommendations and options in this report and as

there is no personal, special categories or criminal offence data being processed to set these out, there is no requirement to complete a DPIA for these. However, the ongoing DPIA for these services will be reviewed following the approved recommendations and options from this report if required.

- **Communications:** Changes to parking have resulted in a number of media queries. The communications team will work closely with transport colleagues to share information around any changes. More broadly the team continues to work on communications around the delivery of the Local Transport Strategy, for example promoting the benefits of improvements to bus services.
- **Economy:** Further economic data will be needed to consider the impacts over a longer period of time. (commitment to review at 3,6 and 9 months etc).

## Risks and Mitigations

A further change to parking charges risks confusion, therefore it is proposed that the price for 2024/25 is advertised to include the price determined by full council for 2025/26 and any new prices agreed by the Executive at this meeting.

## Wards Impacted All

**Contact details** For further information please contact the authors

### Authors

<b>Name:</b>	James Gilchrist
<b>Job Title:</b>	Director of Highways, Transport and Environment
<b>Service Area:</b>	Highways, Transport and Environment
<b>Telephone:</b>	-
<b>Report approved:</b>	Yes
<b>Date:</b>	28/05/2025
<b>Name:</b>	Claire Foale
<b>Job Title:</b>	Chief Strategy Officer
<b>Service Area:</b>	City Development
<b>Telephone:</b>	-
<b>Report approved:</b>	Yes
<b>Date:</b>	28/05/2025

## **Specialist Officers**

Patrick Looker, AD Finance

## **Background papers**

Budget Council

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=331&MId=15004>

Budget Executive

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=14504>

Executive Local Transport Strategy

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=773@MId=14499&Ver=4>

Local Transport Strategy Implementation Plan

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/%28S%28iezd4m45k3tmf22josjfte55%29%29/mgAi.aspx?ID=69238>

[Statutory Guidance on Right to Challenge Parking Policies](#)

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7514cc40f0b6397f35d851/150305 - Guidance on Parking Reviews FINAL.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7514cc40f0b6397f35d851/150305_-_Guidance_on_Parking_Reviews_FINAL.pdf)

## **Annexes**

- A. Bishopthorpe Road Petition
- B. East Parade Petition
- C. Parking Services
- D. Updated Equalities Impact Assessment

This page is intentionally left blank

## **Annex A**

### **Review ePetition**

**Title:** Bishopthorpe Road Car Park

#### **Statement:**

We the undersigned petition the council to STOP a 500%+ increase in parking charges at Bishy Road 'Shopper's Car Park' and review the charges under our Right to Challenge Parking Policies under the Traffic Management Act.

#### **Justification:**

Thank you for letting us present our petition this morning which has over 8000 signatures protesting against the astonishing and we believe overzealous and unjustified 500% increase in parking charges in our car local park.

We are presenting our petition to ask for a formal review of this decision under the statutory guidelines set out in the Traffic Management Act and the Right to Challenge Parking Policies section of this recently introduced decision by City of York Council.

I do not need to overstate or exaggerate the detail around this shocking increase the facts speak for themselves and if we are granted an opportunity to review then our intention is to provide facts, hard evidence and data that will convince the Council to change this decision. Parking strategies cannot simply be about restricting parking. They need to meet the best interests of road users, communities and businesses. Inappropriate parking rules, over-zealous enforcement and high parking charges drive people out of town centres, push up the cost of living, harm local shops and make it harder for people to park responsibly and go about their everyday lives. The current processes for considering and implementing parking strategies are not easily understood or accessed by local residents or businesses. The timeframe for reviewing policies is not linked to, or required to respond to, changes in local circumstances. This creates a perception that people have no say on parking in their area, or power to challenge decisions on parking policy. The Government wants to make it easier for local residents and firms to challenge unfair, disproportionate or unreasonable parking policies. This could include the provision of parking, parking charges or the use of yellow lines. The Government believes that introducing a right to challenge parking policies will strengthen local democracy and local accountability. The introduction of direct democratic participation through the right to petition and initiate a local resident review will strengthen the rights of local taxpayers, and encourage citizen participation in local decision-making. Given elected councillors, not officers, will make the final decision on the review, in turn, this will strengthen local representative democracy. We hope the end result should be to remove unnecessary or excessive parking restrictions and unfair parking practices, to the benefit of the local economy and local shops. These are not my words but a direct quote from the government statutory guidance.

This review is needed for Bishopthorpe Road but it is just the tip of the iceberg as there are others areas that deserve to have these decisions reviewed as well.

I hope you will agree to providing us with our right to challenge this decision. ([Show truncated justification text](#))

**Submitted by:** REDACTED

**Contact details:** REDACTED

**Status:** Requested

**Date submitted:** 13/05/2025

This page is intentionally left blank





Good afternoon,

My name is Maria Mercer and I'm contacting you on behalf of Jean Newton, Dawn Robinson and Paul Newton, we own Newtons's ladies hairdressers and Barbers of East Parade Heworth respectively. Our issue is in regard to the sudden increase in charges for the short stay car park on East Parade. We acknowledge that the 2 and 3 hour options for this car park have decrease in cost, however our concerns relate to the charges for the 1 hour option increasing by 172% from £1.10 to £3. Our other worry lies in relation to the removal of the half an hour option previous costing 50 pence.

The costs listed above, are for in person payments at the parking meter. We would also like to draw your attention to the cost via the 'PayByPhone' app, for an hour of parking it is currently £4.85 (excluding Service and SMS reminder fees charged by the app itself).

The car park serves many businesses, by the nature of the services and shops along the Parade, these 1 hour and half an hour parking options provide the most use for customers. This car park has always been seen as a 'shopper's car park', much like the Bishopthorpe Road car park, which we have noticed is significantly less expensive and still holds the 'up to an hour' option.

With no consultation or notification on the matter, the decision to raise these costs and remove accessible options has come as a complete shock. At a time when small businesses are having to bear the realities of costs associated with increasing Employers NI, National Minimum Wage and rising business rates. We are doing our best to survive in this increasingly challenging economy.

We have been trading as a Hairdressers for nearly 100 years, in the same place, three generations of the same family and have always aimed to provide the best services at affordable prices. This just feels as if no consideration has been put into the implications of the raised car park charges. We recently had to reluctantly raise our prices to cover the increasing costs of the previously mentioned factors. We are fearful that we will lose customers when changes like this, in a Cost of Living Crisis, increase the cost the public have to front to keep small businesses like ours alive. East Parade will lose customers, when their haircut, sandwich, book of stamps, takeaway, etc. comes with an extortionate parking fee. The public have less money than ever, and with local trade and service having to become ever more expensive and it simply takes one added cost to turn those wishing to support us away. The increase in fee and limitation in options comes in at a disproportionate cost to the benefit of keeping local business afloat.


We are currently seeking the support of other local affected businesses and have contacted our local MP. But we wanted to begin communication as soon as possible as we are aware that conversations are taking place between yourselves and businesses on Micklegate. With this you have stalled the increase until the matter is resolved, we ask that this attention is given to the issue we have raised as well.

Please give this issue the respect it deserves. The bigger picture is saving Small Businesses and keeping York's communities alive.

Best wishes,  
Maria Mercer, Jean Newton, Dawn Robinson and Paul Newton.






















**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Please support your local businesses by added your signature to our petition  
objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2  
hour option .

Old price	New price
£1.10. 1 hr	£3.00 1 hr







**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Please support your local businesses by added your signature to our petition objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2 hour option .

Old price	New price
£1.10. 1hr	£3.00 1hr






**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Page 40

32

Please support your local businesses by added your signature to our petition objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2 hour option .

Old price	New price
£1.10. 1 hr	£3.00 1 hr





C  
S  
E  
A

A  
✓

**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Please support your local businesses by added your signature to our petition objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2 hour option .

Old price	New price
-----------	-----------

£1.10 1hr	£3.00 1hr
-----------	-----------






**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Page 44

Please support your local businesses by added your signature to our petition objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2 hour option .

Old price	New price
£1.10.  w	£3.00  h





**KEEP EAST PARADE  
CARPARK CHARGES  
AFFORDABLE  
&  
ACCESSIBLE**

Please support your local businesses by adding your signature to our petition objecting to the increase in charges for East Parade carpark and removal of the 1/2 hour option .

Old price	New price
£1.10.	£3.00



PARKING SERVICES		2024/25	2024/25	2024/25	2025/26		2025/26		2025/26	
		Standard Charge	Discounted Rate*	Premium Rate**	Standard Charge		Discounted Rate*		Premium Rate**	
		Charge	Charge	Charge	Proposed	£ Increase	Proposed	£ Increase	Proposed	£ Increase
Note : VAT is chargeable at the appropriate rate Changes are highlighted		£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Household Permit	-Standard *	109.95	54.98	164.95	115.00	5.05	92.00	37.02	173.00	8.05
	Quarterly charge *	33.00	16.50	47.00	35.00	2.00	28.00	11.50	49.00	2.00
	-Second	240.00	120.00	340.00	264.00	24.00	211.20	91.20	374.00	34.00
	Quarterly charge	70.00	35.00	92.50	77.00	7.00	61.60	26.60	102.00	9.50
	-Third	500.00	250.00	680.00	550.00	50.00	440.00	190.00	748.00	68.00
	Quarterly charge	135.00	67.50	177.50	148.50	13.50	118.80	51.30	195.00	17.50
Visitor	-Standard	1.40			1.45	0.05				
	-Concessionary	0.35			0.35	0.00				
Special Control Permit	-Standard *	135.00	62.50	180.00	142.00	7.00	113.60	51.10	189.00	9.00
	Quarterly charge *	38.00	19.00	52.50	40.00	2.00	32.00	13.00	55.00	2.50
Special Additional Permit	-Standard *	135.00	67.50	180.00	142.00	7.00	113.60	46.10	189.00	9.00
	Quarterly charge	38.00	19.00	52.50	40.00	2.00	32.00	13.00	55.00	2.50
Business Permit *		510.00	255.00		536.00	26.00	428.80	173.80		
Guest House Authorisation Card	-Standard *	510.00	255.00		536.00	26.00	428.80	173.80		
	Quarterly charge	132.50	66.25		139.00	6.50	111.20	44.95		
Multiple Occupancy Permit *	-Standard *	202.50	101.25		213.00	10.50	170.40	69.15		
	Quarterly charge *	55.00	27.50		58.00	3.00	46.40	18.90		
Landlord's Permit *	-Standard *	202.50	101.25	240.00	213.00	10.50	170.40	69.15	252.00	12.00
	Quarterly charge *	55.00	27.50		58.00	3.00	46.40	18.90		
Community Permit *		67.50	33.75	82.50	71.00	3.50	56.80	23.05	87.00	4.50
Day use Community Permit	- Standard	1.40			1.45	0.05				
	- Charities	0.35			0.35	0.00				
Authorisation Card without Permit		4.00			4.20	0.20				
Property Renovation Permit	- Quarterly *	160.00	80.00		168.00	8.00	134.40	54.40		
	- Daily *	4.00	2.00		4.00	0.00	3.20	1.20		
Commercial Permit *		760.00	380.00		798.00	38.00	638.40	258.40		
	- Quarterly	215.00	107.50		226.00	11.00	180.80	73.30		
Commercial Permit (Specific Zone) *		190.00	95.00		200.00	10.00	160.00	65.00		
	- Quarterly	50.00	25.00		53.00	3.00	42.40	17.40		
Commercial Permit (School) *		120.00	60.00		126.00	6.00	100.80	40.80		
Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) Full (Higher/ Lower)		70.00 / 50.00			70.00 / 50.00					
PCN Discounted (Higher/ Lower)		35.00 / 25.00			35.00 / 25.00					
PCN Enforced (Higher/ Lower)		105.00 / 75.00			105.00 / 75.00					
Vehicle Removal Charge		125.00			131.00	6.00				
Vehicle Storage Charge	Daily	15.00			16.00	1.00				
Vehicle Disposal Charge		30.00			32.00	2.00				
Admin Fee		55.00			58.00	3.00				
Regular User Discount Permit (2 Year)		30.00			45.00	15.00				

\* 20% discount available for vehicles 2.7m or less in length or a low emission vehicle within Ultra Low Emission Definition

\*\* additional charge for high emission vehicles within DVLA band J,K,L or M.

**PARKING SERVICES - SCHEDULE OF SEASON TICKET CHARGES**

Changes are highlighted		2024/25 Current Charge £	2025/26 Proposed Charge £      Increase £	
<b>Annual Season Ticket*</b>	Standard Car Parks	1,600.00	1,800.00	200.00
	Discount vehicle rate	800.00	1,440.00	640.00
<b>Monthly Season Tickets</b>				
Standard Stay car parks	Standard rate	220.00	240.00	20.00
	Discount vehicle rate	110.00	192.00	82.00
<b>Weekly Season Tickets</b>				
Preferential phone rate only				
Standard Stay car parks	Standard rate	88.00	100.00	12.00
	Discount vehicle rate	44.00	80.00	36.00
<b>Hotel Scratchcards</b>				
Standard Stay Car Parks	Per Book of 30	400.00	450.00	50.00
<b>Contract Parking</b>				
Foss Bank - Monthly	Standard rate	110.00	116.00	6.00
	Discount vehicle rate	55.00	93.00	38.00
Foss Bank - Annual	Standard rate	1,100.00	1,155.00	55.00
	Discount vehicle rate	550.00	924.00	374.00
Surface - Monthly	Standard rate	110.00	116.00	6.00
	Discount vehicle rate	50.00	93.00	43.00
Surface - Annual	Standard rate	1,100.00	1,155.00	55.00
	Discount vehicle rate	500.00	924.00	424.00

**Note**

Standard Car Parks exclude use of Castle, Bootham Row, Coppergate and Esplanade

\* discount available for vehicles 2.7m or less in length or a low emission vehicle within

Ultra Low Emission Definition

\* ie 10 or more purchased at the same time



## Proposed Parking Tariffs from July 2025

**Changes are highlighted**

### a) Off-Street City Centre Car Parks

	Central Car Parks*			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	4.85	5.30	3.40	3.70
1-2 Hours	9.70	10.60	6.80	7.40
2-3 Hours	11.20	13.50	7.80	9.50
3-4 Hours	14.90	17.50	10.40	12.30
4-5 Hours	18.60	21.50	13.00	15.10
Additional Hour	3.70	4.00	2.60	2.80
Evening	4.00	4.80	2.00	2.00

\* Castle, Bootham Row, Coppergate and Esplanade  
Coppergate - no evening charge closes at 7pm

### b) Other Suburban Car Parks

	Bishopthorpe Road			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	3.00	3.00	2.10	2.10
1-2 Hours	6.00	6.00	4.20	4.20
2-3 Hours	9.00	9.00	6.30	6.30

Charges apply 8am to 6pm  
Max stay 3 hours - free after 6pm

	Outer Car Parks*			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	4.85	5.30	3.40	3.70
1-2 Hours	9.70	10.60	6.80	7.40
2-3 Hours	11.20	13.50	7.80	9.50
3-4 Hours	14.90	17.50	10.40	12.30
4-5 Hours	18.60	21.50	13.00	15.10
Day Charge	22.50	25.00	15.80	17.50
Evening	4.00	4.80	2.00	2.00

\* Foss Bank, Marygate, Monk Bar, Nunnery Lane, St George's  
Field, Union Terrace

	East Parade			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	3.00	3.00	2.10	2.10
1-2 Hours	6.00	6.00	4.20	4.20
2-3 Hours	9.00	9.00	6.30	6.30

Charges apply 8am to 6pm  
Max Stay 2 hours (3 hours after 2pm) - free after 6pm

### Union Terrace & St George's Field Coach Parking

	Mon-Sun
	£
Up to 1 hour	8.50
Up to 3 Hours	16.00
Up to 8 hours	18.50
Over 8 Hours	27.00

Union Terrace / St George's Coach Parks

	Rowntree Park			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event	Fri/Sat & Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	3.00	3.00	2.10	2.10
1-2 Hours	6.00	6.00	4.20	4.20
2-3 Hours	9.00	9.00	6.30	6.30

Charges apply 8am to 4:30pm  
Max Stay 3 Hours - charge apply up to 4:30pm

## Proposed Parking Tariffs from July 2025

### Changes are highlighted

#### c) On Street Parking

	Standard Charges			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	4.85	5.30	3.40	3.70
1-2 Hours	9.70	10.60	6.80	7.40
2-3 Hours	11.20	13.50	7.80	9.50
Evening	4.00	4.80	2.00	2.00

Carmelite Street, Lord Mayors Walk, North Street, Piccadilly, Skeldergate, Tanner's Moat, Toft Green, Walmgate

Charges apply 8am to midnight

Max Stay 2 hours (3 hours after 2pm)

	Respark Shared Zones (Inner)			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	4.85	5.30	3.40	3.70

Bishophill Senior, Cromwell Rd, Cumberland St, George St, Leadmill Lane, Lower Friargate, Lower Priory St, Margaret St, Walmgate

Charges apply 8am to 8pm

Maximum 1 hr stay

#### d) Motorcycle Parking in car park dedicated bay

There will be no charges for motorbikes

\*\* Note all Pay by Phone Transactions are subject to a 15p per transaction service charge

Where pay by phone is the only means of payment the transaction fee is included in the initial period charge

	Micklegate, Priory Street, Lawrence St			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	3.00	3.00	2.10	2.10
1-2 Hours	6.00	6.00	4.20	4.20
2-3 Hours	9.00	9.00	6.30	6.30
Evening	4.00	4.80	2.00	2.00

Charges apply Monday to Saturday 8am to midnight

Sunday 1pm to Midnight (Micklegate and Priory St)

Sunday 8 am to midnight (Lawrence St)

Max Stay 2 hours (3 hours after 2pm)

	Respark Shared Zones (Outer)			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 1 Hour	3.00	3.00	2.10	2.10

Clifton, Garden St, Huntington Rd, Lawrence St, Lowther St, Margaret St, Marygate, Park Grove, Penley's Grove St, Queen Annes Rd, The Crescent, The Mount, Townend St, Union Trce

Charges apply 8am to 8pm

Maximum 1 hr stay

	Evening Only			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Evening Charge	4.00	4.80	2.00	2.00

Fossgate, St Deny's Road, Stonebow, Walmgate

Charges apply Evening after 6pm to midnight

St Deny's Road is free on Sunday

	Foss Islands Road			
	Standard		Minster Badge	
	Fri/Sat &		Fri/Sat &	
	Sun-Thu	Event	Sun-Thu	Event
	£	£	£	£
Up to 2 hours	10.00	11.00	7.00	7.70
Up to 5 Hours	20.00	24.00	14.00	16.80
Upto 12 Hours	22.50	25.00	15.80	17.50

Large Vehicles (Minibus, Motorhome, Caravans)

Charges apply 8am to 9pm

## Car Parks Compare Charges from 2024/25/ April 2025 / Proposed 2025

Proposed Changes are highlighted

## 1) Bootham Bar, Castle, Coppergate Esplanade,

Upto 1hr

1-2hrs

2-3hrs

3-4hrs

4-5hrs

additional extra hour thereafter

Evening after 6pm

2024/25	Standard			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
3.40	4.85	5.30	4.85	5.30
6.80	9.70	10.60	9.70	10.60
10.20	11.20	13.50	11.20	13.50
13.60	14.90	17.50	14.90	17.50
17.00	18.60	21.50	18.60	21.50
3.40	3.70	4.00	3.70	4.00
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

2024/25	Minster Badge			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
3.10	3.70	4.10	3.40	3.70
6.20	7.50	8.20	6.80	7.40
9.30	8.60	10.40	7.80	9.50
12.40	11.50	13.50	10.40	12.30
15.50	14.30	16.50	13.00	15.10
3.10	2.80	3.70	2.60	2.80
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

## 2) Nunnery Lane, Marygate, Monk Bar, St. George's Fireld, Union Terrace

Upto 1hr

1-2hrs

2-3hrs

3-4hrs

4-5hrs

All day

Evening after 6pm

3.10	4.85	5.30	4.85	5.30
6.20	9.70	10.60	9.70	10.60
9.30	11.20	13.50	11.20	13.50
12.40	14.90	17.50	14.90	17.50
15.50	18.60	21.50	18.60	21.50
20.00	22.50	25.00	22.50	25.00
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

2.40	3.70	4.10	3.40	3.70
4.80	7.50	8.20	6.80	7.40
7.20	8.60	10.40	7.80	9.50
9.60	11.50	13.50	10.40	12.30
12.00	14.30	16.50	13.00	15.10
20.00	17.30	19.20	15.80	17.50
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

## 3) Foss Bank

Upto 1hr

1-2hrs

2-3hrs

3-4hrs

4-5hrs

All day

2.30	4.85	5.30	4.85	5.30
4.60	9.70	10.60	9.70	10.60
6.90	11.20	13.50	11.20	13.50
9.20	14.90	17.50	14.90	17.50
11.50	18.60	21.50	18.60	21.50
20.00	22.50	25.00	22.50	25.00

2.30	3.70	4.10	3.40	3.70
4.60	7.50	8.20	6.80	7.40
6.90	8.60	10.40	7.80	9.50
9.20	11.50	13.50	10.40	12.30
11.50	14.30	16.50	13.00	15.10
20.00	17.30	19.20	15.80	17.50

## 4) Bishopthorpe Road

Upto 1hr

1-2hrs

2-3hrs

3-4hrs

4-5hrs

All day

Evening after 6pm

0.80	4.85	5.30	3.00	3.00
1.60	9.70	10.60	6.00	6.00
4.50	11.20	13.50	9.00	9.00
-	14.90	17.50	-	-
-	18.60	21.50	-	-
-	22.50	25.00	-	-
-	4.00	4.80	-	-

0.80	3.70	4.10	2.10	2.10
1.60	7.50	8.20	4.20	4.20
4.50	8.60	10.40	6.30	6.30
-	11.50	13.50	-	-
-	14.30	16.50	-	-
-	17.30	19.20	-	-
-	2.00	2.00	-	-

**Car Parks Compare Charges from 2024/25/ April 2025 / Proposed 2025**

Proposed Changes are highlighted

**5) East Parade**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs

2024/25	Standard			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
1.10	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
6.20	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
9.30	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00

2024/25	Minster Badge			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
0.80	2.30	2.30	2.10	2.10
1.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.20
4.50	6.90	6.90	6.30	6.30

**6) Rowntree Park**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs

1.30	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
2.60	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
3.90	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00

1.30	2.30	2.30	2.10	2.10
2.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.20
3.90	6.90	6.90	6.30	6.30

**7) On Street - Carmelite St, Lord Mayor's Walk, North Street, Piccadilly, Skeldergate, Tanner's Moat, Toft Green, Walmgate.**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs  
Evening after 6pm

3.10	4.85	5.30	4.85	5.30
6.20	9.70	10.60	9.70	10.60
9.30	11.20	13.50	11.20	13.50
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

3.10	3.70	4.10	3.40	3.70
6.20	7.50	8.20	6.80	7.40
9.30	8.60	10.40	7.80	9.50
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

**8) On Street - Lawrence Street (non Respark area)**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs  
Evening after 6pm

3.10	4.85	5.30	3.00	3.00
6.20	9.70	10.60	6.00	6.00
9.30	11.20	13.50	9.00	9.00
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

3.10	3.70	4.10	2.10	2.10
6.20	7.50	8.20	4.20	4.20
9.30	8.60	10.40	6.30	6.30
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

**9) On Street - Micklegate**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs  
Evening after 6pm

1.20	4.85	5.30	3.00	3.00
6.20	9.70	10.60	6.00	6.00
9.30	11.20	13.50	9.00	9.00
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

1.20	3.70	4.10	2.10	2.10
6.20	7.50	8.20	4.20	4.20
9.30	8.60	10.40	6.30	6.30
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

**10) On Street - Priory Street**Upto 1hr  
1-2hrs  
2-3hrs  
Evening after 6pm

3.10	4.85	5.30	3.00	3.00
6.20	9.70	10.60	6.00	6.00
9.30	11.20	13.50	9.00	9.00
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

3.10	3.70	4.10	2.10	2.10
6.20	7.50	8.20	4.20	4.20
9.30	8.60	10.40	6.30	6.30
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

## Car Parks Compare Charges from 2024/25/ April 2025 / Proposed 2025

Proposed Changes are highlighted

## 11) On Street - Fossgate, Piccadilly, St Deny's Road, The Stonebow, Walmgate (eve only).

Evening after 6pm

2024/25	Standard			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
4.00	4.00	4.80	4.00	4.80

2024/25	Minster Badge			
	Apr-25		Proposed 2025	
	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event	Sun-Thur	Fri & Sat & Event
£	£	£	£	£
1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

12)

## On Street (Respark inner zones) - Bishophill Senior, Cromwell Rd, Cumberland St, Leadmill Lane, Lower Friargate, Lower Priory St, Margaret St, Walmgate (Respark)

Upto 1hr

Evening

1.20	4.85	5.30	4.85	5.30
0.00	4.00	4.80	N/a	N/a

3.10	3.70	4.10	3.40	3.70
0.00	2.00	2.40	N/a	N/a

## 13) On Street (Respark outer zones) - Clifton, Garden St, Huntington Rd, Lawrence St, Lowther St, Margaret St, Marygate, Park Grove, Penley's Grove St, Queen Anne's Rd, The Crescent, The Mount, Townend St, Union Terrace.

Upto 1hr

Evening

1.20	4.85	5.30	3.00	3.00
0.00	4.00	4.00	N/a	N/a

3.10	3.70	4.10	2.10	2.10
0.00	2.00	2.40	N/a	N/a

## 14) On Street - Foss Islands Road - Large Vehicles

&gt;2 Hours

2-5 Hours

5-12 Hours

Market Traders with Permit

5.40	10.00	11.00	10.00	11.00
8.00	20.00	24.00	20.00	24.00
13.00	22.50	25.00	22.50	25.00
3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00

5.40	10.00	11.00	7.00	7.70
8.00	20.00	24.00	14.00	16.80
13.00	22.50	25.00	15.80	17.50
3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00

## 15) Motorcycle Parking in car park dedicated bay

Upto 1hr

1-2hrs

2-3hrs

3-4hrs

4-5hrs

All day

-	1.60	1.80	-	-
-	3.20	3.50	-	-
-	3.70	4.50	-	-
-	5.00	5.80	-	-
-	6.20	7.20	-	-
-	7.50	8.30	-	-

-	1.20	1.40	-	-
-	2.50	2.70	-	-
-	2.90	3.50	-	-
-	3.80	4.50	-	-
-	4.80	5.50	-	-
-	5.80	6.40	-	-

## 16) Coach Parking

Upto 1 Hour

Upto 3 Hours

Upto 8 Hours

Over 8 Hours

Peak				
7.80	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50
16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00	16.00
18.50	18.50	18.50	18.50	18.50
27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00

Off Peak				
8.50	-	-	-	-
16.00	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
27.00	-	-	-	-

This page is intentionally left blank

**City of York Council**  
**Equalities Impact Assessment**

**Who is submitting the proposal?**

<b>Directorate:</b>		City Development	
<b>Service Area:</b>		Parking	
<b>Name of the proposal :</b>		Review of Parking Charges	
<b>Lead officer:</b>		Garry Taylor	
<b>Date assessment completed:</b>		28 / 05 / 2025	
<b>Names of those who contributed to the assessment:</b>			
<b>Name</b>	<b>Job title</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Area of expertise</b>
Helene Vergereau	Head of Highway Access and Development	CYC	Highways and transport
Darren Hobson	Traffic Management Team Leader	CYC	Highways and transport
Jav Safder	Parking Services Manager	CYC	Parking`
Claire Foale	Chief Strategy Officer	CYC	Strategy / City Development

## Step 1 – Aims and intended outcomes

1.1	<b>What is the purpose of the proposal?</b> Please explain your proposal in Plain English avoiding acronyms and jargon.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Local Transport Strategy was adopted by Executive in July 2024. The recently adopted Local Transport Strategy was based on extensive consultation about transport. During the consultation, residents told us the rhythm of their lives is dictated by the roads and networks that dominate our city, that congestion was a significant issue for many people and they supported reducing the number of car miles driven in the city - with a target of reducing driven miles by 20% by 2030.</li> <li>• Recognising this, Executive made several recommendations to Full Council for the Budget to change carparking charging, to reflect the public consultation on both the budget and Local Transport Strategy. These recommendations were informed by the extensive evidence base on parking charges as a tool to reduce traffic congestion.</li> <li>• Since the new car parking charges have been implemented, their impact has caused concern to a range of communities and therefore Executive has asked for an opportunity to review these charges.</li> <li>• Petitions have been received about the changes in parking prices at Bishopthorpe Road and East Parade. A letter has been received calling for a review of parking charges at Bishopthorpe Road under the Statutory Guidance - Right to challenge parking policies, Traffic Management Act 2004: Network Management Duty Guidance. This report details how this review will be managed (para 66).</li> <li>• The parking pricing model that was approved as part of budget for City of York Council set a consistent parking price for parking across the city centre, with the aim of reducing congestion by making travel by bus, cycle and walking a more attractive option than driving into the city, and reducing congestion associated with searching for the cheapest parking places.</li> <li>• As part of the budget for 2025/26, car parking prices across the centre of York were increased by an average of around 50% for the first and second hour, with the increase tapering off for subsequent</li> </ul>



hours. This was designed to incentivise bus travel by making the first two hours of parking slightly more expensive than the cost of a family bus ticket. Assuming a short-term parking elasticity of -0.3, the anticipation was that the price increase would lead to a drop in demand of 10 to 15% and a potential reduction in traffic volumes of around 2%.

- For some areas of York, the new parking pricing model resulted in a very significant percentage increase in price, with the most extreme being at Bishopthorpe Road car park, one of very few areas near the city centre with anomalously low parking rates of under £1 an hour. This car park previously charged 80p for one hour, but under the new charges moved to £4.85 for the first hour: a 500% increase. Similarly, some on-street locations had anomalously low prices previously, making the new prices a significant rise. Independent traders and residents have raised concerns about the impact of such an increase on footfall and trade.
- Some adaptations to the parking price model have been developed in response to concerns that have been raised, providing a more graduated approach to the rise in parking prices in community shopping areas outside of the inner ring road, whilst being careful not to undermine the goals of the Local Transport Strategy.
- The proposed Parking Services charges changes are:
  - a) approve an increase in the discount for the Minster Badge to 30% of the standard parking charge, from the current 24%.
  - b) maintain existing on-street parking charges on and inside the inner ring road, with the following exception at (c);
  - c) to approve the adjusting of charges in the Micklegate and Priory Street area to the 'outside the inner ring road' on-street parking rate, rather than its existing higher city centre rate. This will be reviewed in the future. City centre evening parking rates for this area will still apply.

- d) maintain existing charging at all city centre car parks with the exception of Bishopthorpe Road, which it's proposed is moved in line with charges approved for community car parks at East Parade and Rowntree Park in the council's 2025-26 Budget.
- e) approve a standard lower rate outside the inner ring road to apply to on-street parking, matching rates at Bishopthorpe Road, East Parade and Rowntree Park Car Parks.
- f) approve that East Parade Car Park should remain matched to the 'outer' on-street local parking rate
- g) to remove the proposed charges for dedicated motorcycle bays.
- h) increase the discount for Low Emission vehicle permits to 20%, from the current 16% discount
- i) approve that Contract Parking permits are no longer linked to Season Tickets, and will be set at last year's prices, plus circa 5% increase, with a 20% discount for low emission vehicles.
- j) should Executive approve a change to the amount paid for the low vehicle emission discount, Contract, Season and ResPark permits holders will be refunded the difference.
- k) To note that, if approved, the new charges will need to be advertised in accordance with legislation, meaning those charges implemented will be subject to a pending review under the Traffic Management Act 2004 Right to Challenge Parking Policies.
- l) Accept the challenge to review parking charges under the Traffic Management Act 2004 in respect of Bishopthorpe Road and initiate the review.
- m) To approve that a further report will be brought back to Executive upon the conclusion of the review.
- n) To approve that the parking income budget is not changed at this time, whilst recognising the impact of the proposed changes could be in the region of £115k.

	o) To request that updates on parking usage and income is included in quarterly finance and performance monitoring reports and any variances are considered within the whole council budget.
--	--

<b>1.2</b>	<b>Are there any external considerations?</b> (Legislation/government directive/codes of practice etc.)
	Local authorities have the power to charge for on-street and off-street parking under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

<b>1.3</b>	<b>Who are the stakeholders and what are their interests?</b>
	On-street and off-street parking users (residents, visitors, etc), including those with protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 Businesses and other services and premises (including medical centres, pharmacies, places of worship, etc), whose customers, suppliers, and visitors use CYC on-street and off-street parking to park their vehicle.

<b>1.4</b>	<b>What results/outcomes do we want to achieve and for whom?</b> This section should explain what outcomes you want to achieve for service users, staff and/or the wider community. Demonstrate how the proposal links to the Council Plan (2019- 2023) and other corporate strategies and plans.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>By changing how we move around the city, residents will benefit from a healthier, more sustainable and better-connected city. By reducing vehicle traffic, York will be an even better place to live, attracting investment and employment and helping residents live longer, happier, healthier lives.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be effective and reliable, and a viable option for residents, commuters and visitors, buses need to move through the city without being hindered by traffic congestion.</li> <li>• The recently adopted Local Transport Strategy was based on extensive consultation about transport. During the consultation, residents told us the rhythm of their lives is dictated by the roads and networks that dominate our city, that congestion was a significant issue for many people and they supported reducing the number of car miles driven in the city - with a target of reducing driven miles by 20% by 2030.</li> <li>• To review parking charges in respect of Bishopthorpe Road and bring a report back to Executive upon conclusion of the review</li> </ul>
--	---

## Step 2 – Gathering the information and feedback

<b>2.1</b>	<b>What sources of data, evidence and consultation feedback do we have to help us understand the impact of the proposal on equality rights and human rights?</b> Please consider a range of sources, including: consultation exercises, surveys, feedback from staff, stakeholders, participants, research reports, the views of equality groups, as well your own experience of working in this area etc.	
	<b>Source of data/supporting evidence</b>	<b>Reason for using</b>
	Budget consultation	Starting back in May 2024, the council has undertaken a comprehensive budget consultation which concluded in December 2024. This is summarised from Paragraph 38 here: <a href="https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s181127/Executive%20Financial%20Strategy.pdf">https://democracy.york.gov.uk/documents/s181127/Executive%20Financial%20Strategy.pdf</a> Data from the consultation is available here: <a href="https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/aedff60d-99e5-4d67-ba8e-13dc0b6cf931/budget-consultation">https://www.data.gov.uk/dataset/aedff60d-99e5-4d67-ba8e-13dc0b6cf931/budget-consultation</a>
	Economic assessment	The council has commissioned independent economic data about spend and transactions, together with collating footfall data. Although this will share information about the impact of the charges on the economy, it doesn't share information about the impact on communities, or specific community groups.
	Petitions / business feedback	The council has received two petitions about the charges, with signatories expressing concern about the increased charges. In addition, residents and the business community have set out their concerns.
	Media coverage	Residents and businesses have expressed their concerns and shared the impact of the increased charges with the local media, both in print and on the radio, and also via social media.
	Review	The review will continue to collate the economic assessment information, together with feedback from residents and the business community to understand the impact .

### Step 3 – Gaps in data and knowledge

3.1	<b>What are the main gaps in information and understanding of the impact of your proposal? Please indicate how any gaps will be dealt with.</b>		
<b>Gaps in data or knowledge</b>		<b>Action to deal with this</b>	
Very limited data on current car park and on-street parking use		Some counters are due to be repaired with exploration about place/gsi data being explored with York BID - but data will continue to be limited for now unless surveys are commissioned.	
No detailed consultation undertaken for users and areas around the car parks considered here		Monitor feedback once the decision has been taken and once the changes are implemented	

### Step 4 – Analysing the impacts or effects.

4.1	<b>Please consider what the evidence tells you about the likely impact (positive or negative) on people sharing a protected characteristic, i.e. how significant could the impacts be if we did not make any adjustments?</b> Remember the duty is also positive – so please identify where the proposal offers opportunities to promote equality and/or foster good relations.
-----	---

<b>Equality Groups and Human Rights.</b>	<b>Key Findings/Impacts</b>	<b>Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)</b>	<b>High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)</b>
<b>Age</b>	Blue Badge holders will continue to park free of charge. The negative impact of increased charges will be mainly on older people who may have difficulties walking or cycling but are not Blue Badge holders (including older people who may have more modest incomes).	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>

Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
	<p>The charges will also have a negative impact on young people/families who may not be able to use other modes of transport and use the car to access parks and other services. Budget Consultation responses: <i>“Some residents did mention, however, that not every York resident has the option to avoid the city centre car parks, and this may disproportionately affect those without the ability to use public transport e.g. those with health issues”</i>. Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p> <p>Some areas, for example Bishopthorpe Road shops and services, are not well served by public transport, reducing the range of alternative modes of transport available. A review is taking place specifically focused on the Bishopthorpe Road area.</p> <p>The increase in the discount for the Minster Badge to 30% of the standard parking charge, from the current 24%. may also have a negative impact on families with young children and young people living in Houses in Multiple Occupancy (HMOs). Budget consultation response: <i>“However, other residents did not think all multicar households were wealthy, and worried about the impact on larger families and houses of multiple occupancy (e.g. shared house)”</i>. Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p>		

Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
<b>Disability</b>	<p>Blue Badge holders will continue to park free of charge. The charge increases may however have a negative impact on Blue Badge holders who will need to purchase a permit or ticket for their vehicle if they need their Blue Badge to travel in a different vehicle.</p> <p>Parking charge increases will have a negative impact on disabled people who do not hold a Blue Badge. This is reflected in the Budget Consultation responses: <i>“Some residents did mention, however, that not every York resident has the option to avoid the city centre car parks, and this may disproportionately affect those without the ability to use public transport e.g. those with health issues”</i>. Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p> <p>The increase in the discount for the Minster Badge to 30% of the standard parking charge, from the current 24%. may also have a negative impact on disabled people who do not hold a Blue Badge. This is reflected in the Budget Consultation responses: <i>“Residents also expressed worries that it would disproportionately affect people who relied on cars for mobility and had to park outside their homes for this reason”</i>. Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p>	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>



Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
	<p>Some areas, for example Bishopthorpe Road shops and services, are not well served by public transport, reducing the range of alternative modes of transport available.</p> <p>The reduction in the discount available for parking permits in ResPark areas for low emission cars (increase the discount for Low Emission vehicle permits to 20%, from the current 16% discount may have a negative impact on Blue Badge holders.</p> <p>This is because although Blue Badge holders can generally park free of charge in residential parking areas and CYC car parks when they display their Blue Badge in the parked vehicle, they will need to purchase a permit or ticket for their vehicle if they need their Blue Badge to travel in a different vehicle.</p> <p>Adapted cars purchased through specialist schemes such as Motability are often low emission vehicles and therefore Blue Badge holders are likely to benefit from the low emission car discounts.</p> <p>This was noted in the Budget Consultation responses: “A notable objection to this came from disabled residents, who often get hybrid cars as part of their Personal Independence Payments. They pointed out that it is not always wealthy residents who have low emission vehicles, and that they are</p>		

Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
	<i>grateful for the current discount</i> ". Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a>		
<b>Gender</b>	<p>Increased parking charges are likely to have a negative impact on people who may decide to use a private car to travel for safety and security reasons as they will have to pay more to park in CYC car parks and on street parking.</p> <p>This may apply, for example, to women who may need to access a workplace or services early in the morning or late in the evening when bus services are inexistent or less frequent and do not feel safe cycling or walking to and from their destination.</p>	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Gender Reassignment</b>	The same impacts identified under "Gender" apply here.	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Marriage and civil partnership</b>	No differential impact identified	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<b>Pregnancy and maternity</b>	Negative impact on people who are pregnant or travelling with babies and young children and may struggle to walk or cycle to access shops, services, parks, etc but do not have a Blue Badge.	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>

Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
	<p>The charges will have a negative impact on young people/families who may not be able to use other modes of transport and use the car to access parks and other services. Budget Consultation responses: <i>“Some residents did mention, however, that not every York resident has the option to avoid the city centre car parks, and this may disproportionately affect those without the ability to use public transport e.g. those with health issues”</i>. Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p> <p>Some areas, for example Bishopthorpe Road shops and services, are not well served by public transport, reducing the range of alternative modes of transport available.</p>		
<b>Race</b>	The same impacts identified under “Gender” apply here.	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Religion and belief</b>	<p>Impacts on access to places of worship as some streets used to offer free parking on Sundays to support access to main religious services.</p> <p>The same impacts identified under “Gender” may also apply here.</p>	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	The same impacts identified under “Gender” apply here.	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>

Equality Groups and Human Rights.	Key Findings/Impacts	Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)	High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)
<b>Other Socio-economic groups including :</b>	<b>Could other socio-economic groups be affected e.g. carers, ex-offenders, low incomes?</b>		
<b>Carer</b>	<p>Impacts on carers are similar to impacts identified under the “Age” and “Disability” categories.</p> <p>Where charges make it more expensive to access shops (such as Bishopthorpe Road and East Parade), this may have a negative impact on carers shopping or picking up prescriptions for the people they care for.</p> <p>This is reflected in the Budget Consultation responses where carers were significantly more likely to oppose the increase in parking charges (41%), compared to non-carers (29%). Source: <a href="#">Budget Consultation 2025/26 (Winter) – Report</a></p>	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Low income groups</b>	Although the objective of the changes is to encourage the use of public transport and sustainable modes of transport, increases in charges are likely to have a negative impact on low-income groups who may not be able to continue accessing shops, services, parks, etc by car and may not be able to use alternative modes of transport.	<b>Negative</b>	<b>Medium</b>

<b>Equality Groups and Human Rights.</b>	<b>Key Findings/Impacts</b>	<b>Positive (+) Negative (-) Neutral (0)</b>	<b>High (H) Medium (M) Low (L)</b>
<b>Veterans, Armed Forces Community</b>	No differential impact identified	n/a	n/a
<b>Other</b>			
<b>Impact on human rights:</b>			
List any human rights impacted.	No human rights impact identified		

## Step 5 - Mitigating adverse impacts and maximising positive impacts

5.1	<p><b>Based on your findings, explain ways you plan to mitigate any unlawful prohibited conduct or unwanted adverse impact. Where positive impacts have been identified, what is been done to optimise opportunities to advance equality or foster good relations?</b></p>
	<p>Blue Badge holders will continue to be able to park free of charge in car parks, on street and in Resident parking areas.</p> <p>City of York Council has adopted the Council's Local Transport Strategy which aims to improve the availability and quality of sustainable modes of transport. Measures included in the budget, in line with the Transport Strategy, aim to support the use of sustainable modes of transport. These measures include bus subsidies, investment in active and sustainable travel, and highway improvements which will support a greater range of people (including more people with protected characteristics) to choose to use sustainable modes of travel, improving health and wellbeing and helping to reduce car dependency.</p> <p>The increased parking charges may have a positive impact (by helping to reduce traffic congestion, increasing the reliability of bus services, making the roads safer for walking and cycling). There is also the potential for positive impact in terms of improved air quality (due to reduced traffic congestion).</p> <p>Regarding free parking previously provided for 5 places of worship, we will consult with the York Interfaith Group as to whether any of these places are a unique place of worship for that faith and how best to communicate on the parking charges. The proposed changes to the start times for Park and Ride in Summer 2025 are likely to have a positive impact for worshippers. By reducing the amount of increases from those originally approved at Full Council the impacts will be reduced. In terms of severity, but not completely mitigated.</p> <p>The review of car parking at Bishopthorpe Road and ongoing assessment of economic impact will create an evidence base to inform future decisions.</p>

## Step 6 – Recommendations and conclusions of the assessment

6.1	Having considered the potential or actual impacts you should be in a position to make an informed judgement on what should be done. In all cases, document your reasoning that justifies your decision. There are four main options you can take:
- <b>No major change to the proposal</b> – the EIA demonstrates the proposal is robust. There is no potential for unlawful discrimination or adverse impact and you have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitor and review.	
Option selected	Conclusions/justification
<b>Continue with the proposal</b>	City of York Council has adopted the Council's Local Transport Strategy which aims to improve the availability and quality of sustainable modes of transport. Measures included in the budget, in line with the Transport Strategy, aim to support the use of sustainable modes of transport. These measures include bus subsidies, investment in active and sustainable travel, and highway improvements which will support a greater range of people (including more people with protected characteristics) to choose to use sustainable modes of travel, improving health and wellbeing and helping to reduce car dependency. The proposed revised parking charges may have a positive impact (by helping to reduce traffic congestion, increasing the reliability of bus services, making the roads safer for walking and cycling). There is also the potential for positive impact in terms of improved air quality (due to reduced traffic congestion). Whether the policy of carparking charges to prompt modal shift can only be understood in "real time" as residents. Commuters and visitors make choices about travel into and around the city and the council gathers the transport, economic and impact data to understand the impact of these changes. This will need to alongside qualitative data to understand the impact on protected communities lived experience.

## Step 7 – Summary of agreed actions resulting from the assessment

<b>7.1 What action, by whom, will be undertaken as a result of the impact assessment.</b>			
<b>Impact/issue</b>	<b>Action to be taken</b>	<b>Person responsible</b>	<b>Timescale</b>
Monitor potential for negative impact on protected groups (as identified above)	Monitoring impacts through parking, bus and economic data collection (where available) and feedback received by CYC (quantitative data)	Michael Howard, Head of Highways and Transport	On-going once changes are implemented and to inform the review, and its consultation, for Executive consideration
Potential negative impact for worshippers no longer having access to free parking on a Sunday (unless Blue Badge Holder).	Consult with York Interfaith Forum specifically on impact on changes to Sunday parking	Laura Swiszczowski, Head of Equity, Diversity & Inclusion	Summer 2025
The impact of significant prices rises impacting on groups with protected characteristics	As part of the review of the impact of charges, consult with different community groups (qualitative data)	Mike James Head of Communications and Engagement and Laura Swiszczowski, Head of Equity, Diversity & Inclusion	Summer 2025



## Step 8 - Monitor, review and improve

8. 1	<b>How will the impact of your proposal be monitored and improved upon going forward?</b> Consider how will you identify the impact of activities on protected characteristics and other marginalised groups going forward? How will any learning and enhancements be capitalised on and embedded?
	CYC will collate and review feedback following the decision to revise the charges and the implementation of the changes. This will be brought to Executive for subsequent consideration.  Charges are typically reviewed annually, with the impact monitored at 3,6 and 9 months.

This page is intentionally left blank